

Peer review and Publication Policy

Peer review is the system used to assess the quality of a manuscript before it is published. To maintain a high-quality publication, all submissions undergo a rigorous review process. This journal follows **a double blind peer review model** where both reviewers and authors remain anonymous throughout the review process. In line with the aims and mission of the journal, papers are normally reviewed by two independent academic experts. Peer reviewers assess the submitted manuscripts for originality, validity and significance to help editors determine whether a manuscript should be published in the journal.

Peer review Process

Manuscripts are initially screened by the Editors for completeness and adherence to the ethical policies of the journal. Manuscripts that do not fit the journal's ethical policy or do not meet the standards of the journal will be rejected. Manuscripts may be returned to authors if it does not meet the criteria of submission. Once a manuscript is accepted in the initial screening, it will be assigned to at least two independent experts for peer-review. The editorial team will select potential peer reviewers within the field of research to review the manuscript. The peer-reviewers put forward their decision on the article. Article may be published after minor revisions / to resubmit the article with more information / reject the article. The authors will be informed to revise the article according to the recommendations of the review committee within the prescribed time. Based on the reviewer reports, accepted papers will be published in the journal. All publication decisions are made by the Editorial committee on the basis of the reviewers' reports. Authors of articles that are not accepted are notified promptly.